## Research, Relationships, and Reflection: How Three Research-Practice Partnerships Support Practitioners' Use of Research Evidence

NNERPP Virtual Brown Bag #33 April 7, 2020, 3:00 pm ET

Originally scheduled to be presented at the Annual Meeting of the Society for Research on Educational Effectiveness, March 13, 2020



The Research Alliance for New York City Schools





# **Today's Panel**

- RPPs and URE
- Three RPPs
  - The Maker Partnership Project | Research Alliance for New York City Schools
  - Chicago Alliance for Equity in Computer Science (CAFECS)
  - Los Angeles Education Research Institute (LAERI)
- Moderated discussion questions for panelists
- Audience questions

# **RPPs and Use of Research Evidence (URE)**

- 1. RPPs = Bridge the gap between "R" and "P"
- 2. URE is not a guarantee, however
  - Timelines
  - Engagement
  - Relevance
- 3. URE could be happening...but not in ways that are visible
  - Conceptual use of research
  - Importance of sensemaking

## The Maker Partnership Project Research Alliance for New York City Schools

Research Alliance for NYC Schools: Cheri Fancsali, Zitsi Mirakhur, Edgar Rivera Cash, Sarah Klevan (LPI) Schools that Can: Casey Lamb, Roger Horton MakerState: Stephen Gillman NSF Award #1742320

# **About the Maker Partnership**

Project Goal - Build knowledge about how to help teachers successfully integrate computer science (CS) and computational thinking (CT) into elementary grade science classes through maker pedagogy





#### **Maker Partnership Project Collaborators**

Schools That Can: Facilitate school recruitment and participation Co-develop and deliver PD and support with MS Facilitate sharing and learning across the STC network Facilitate/lead advisory group Responsible for the overall design and conduct of rigorous and relevant research Oversee/facilitate the RPP Provide data in user-friendly format

**Research Alliance for NYC Schools:** 

for formative feedback Write research reports

Co-designs research: develop research questions, measurement strategy, instruments Interprets data MakerState: Co-develop and deliver PD and Support with STC Use research finding to iteratively improve PD, support and curriculum

Advisory Group: content-area and research expertise, assesses success of the project

#### **Structures and Routines to Support Research Use**

- Regularly scheduled full team meetings with joint agenda setting and document sharing
- Use the agenda 'parking lot' for big picture questions we don't want to lose sight of
- Practitioner input on research design, instrumentation, and interpretation
- Rapid feedback of findings from data to inform practice
- Scheduled 'reflection' meetings
- Collect data on the health of the partnership

#### **Use of Research Evidence: A Vignette from MPP**





# CAFÉCS: Chicago Alliance for Equity in Computer Science



This research was supported by National Science Foundation grant numbers CNS-1738572, CNS-1738776, CNS-1738691, CNS-1738515, DRL-1640215, CNS-1542971, and CNS-1543217. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

# **About this Collaboration**















# **CAFÉCS** Meeting Structures

- CAFÉCS Leadership Team is comprised of representatives of each member organization
  - **Quarterly full-day**, in-person retreat to review and align priorities with CPS
  - Weekly 90-min Zoom meeting to track progress and manage logistics
- All of CAFÉCS meets in-person monthly for 90 mins
  - Shared problem-solving
  - Shared interpretation of results











# Use of Research Evidence in CAFÉCS: A Vignette

Context

- At a **weekly leadership meeting**, CPS reports that there were some complaints about a high failure rate for ECS.
- At monthly All CAFÉCS meeting, collaboratively brainstormed hypotheses to test factors affecting failure
  - <u>Student factors</u>: attendance, GPA, demographics
  - <u>Course Implementation</u>: fidelity, improper use of Google classroom, higher in 2nd semester
  - <u>Teacher characteristics</u>: teaching experience, workshop attendance, lack of CS background, teachers' overall failure rate, number of preps a teacher has
  - <u>School factors</u>: school size, probation status











## **Use of Research Evidence in CAFÉCS: A Vignette**



- Through a data share agreement, The Learning Partnership analyzed ~17k student enrollments to test a subset of hypotheses
- There was shared hypothesis generation followed by partner analysis











### **Research findings are shared and discussed**















# **Results inform...**

#### Office of Computer Science Strategy

- Communication with principals on importance of professional development
- Stress the importance of scheduling the same teacher

#### **CAFÉCS** Research Agenda

- Examination of credit recovery
- Secure additional funding for improvement of access and quality of credit recovery through a hybrid ECS for credit recovery

## **The CAFECS Problem-Solving Cycle**













# New Problem of Practice: Using Questioning and Discussion Techniques

nicado



## Understanding School Effectiveness in Los Angeles Los Angeles Education Research Institute

Carrie Miller, Meredith Phillips, Kyo Yamashiro

# **About this Collaboration**

- The Los Angeles Education Research Institute conducts research to inform solutions to educational challenges facing Los Angeles
- We partner with the Los Angeles Unified School District
- Our current research areas include:
  - College & Career
  - School & Instructional Improvement





# **About this Collaboration**

#### Project Short-Term Goal:

Identify elementary and middle schools that produce substantial gains in math and reading skills and the strategies and practices that contribute to those gains

#### Project Long-Term Goal:

Support district partners in implementing successful practices more widely throughout the district

# How You Aim to Support Research Use

- •Our school effectiveness project tries to bring research to bear in two ways:
  - •Providing high-quality evidence of schools' effectiveness in ELA and math across multiple cohorts
  - •Examining practices used in schools that produce large learning gains in ELA and math – and exploring whether those practices differ in schools serving similar students that do not produce the same kinds of learning gains
- •By combining quantitative and qualitative evidence, we are trying to generate evidence that may be useful for implementing successful practices in more schools

# How You Aim to Support Research Use

•Strategies to support research use:

- Involving partners in project design
  Regularly sharing intermediate findings for feedback at multiple levels within the central office
- Building in opportunities for reflection
  Iteratively revising survey instruments
  based on partner feedback to improve
  data quality



## A Vignette of URE in your RPP

• Identifying elementary school demonstration sites



## **Moderated Discussion**

## **Audience Questions & Discussion**